

Model Solution Tutorial 1

1.1. Moore-Penrose Pseudoinverse

Compute the pseudoinverse of

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Begin by calculating the singular value decomposition

$$A \cdot A^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(\lambda) &= \begin{vmatrix} 2-\lambda & 4 \\ 4 & 8-\lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 8) - 16 \\ &= 16 - 10\lambda + \lambda^2 - 16 \\ &= \lambda^2 - 10\lambda = \lambda(\lambda - 10) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 10, \lambda_2 = 0$$

Calculating the eigenpairs

$$\lambda_1 : \begin{aligned} -8x_1 + 4x_2 &= 0 \\ 4x_1 - 2x_2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{normalized eigenvector } \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_2 : \begin{aligned} 2x_1 + 4x_2 &= 0 \\ 4x_1 + 8x_2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{normalized eigenvector } \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{10} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^T \cdot A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 5 \\ 5 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow p_2(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} 5-\lambda & 5 \\ 5 & 5-\lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (5-\lambda)^2 - 25$$

$$= \lambda^2 - 10\lambda = \lambda(\lambda-10)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 10, \lambda_2 = 0$$

Calculating the eigenpairs

$$\lambda_1 : \begin{array}{l} -5x_1 + 5x_2 = 0 \\ 5x_1 - 5x_2 = 0 \end{array} \Rightarrow \text{normalized eigenvector } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_2 : \begin{array}{l} 5x_1 + 5x_2 = 0 \\ 5x_1 + 5x_2 = 0 \end{array} \Rightarrow \text{normalized eigenvector } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow V = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The singular value decomposition is hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}}_U \cdot \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{10} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\Sigma} \cdot \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}}_{V^T}$$

Our pseudoinverse is then given by

$$A^+ = V \sum^* U^*$$

$$\Rightarrow A^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{10} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

1.2 Singular Value Decomposition

Compute the singular value decomposition of the matrix

b)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A \cdot A^T = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 20 & 14 & 0 & 0 \\ 14 & 10 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$p(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} 20 & & & \\ 14 & 14 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 20(14 - \lambda) \cdot 0 \cdot 0 \cdot (-\lambda) \\ &= (14 - \lambda)(10 - \lambda)(-\lambda^2) - 14 \cdot 14 \cdot \lambda \\ &= 140\lambda^2 - 26\lambda^3 + 2^4 - 196\lambda^2 \\ &= \lambda^2(\lambda^2 - 26\lambda - 36) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \lambda^4 - 30\lambda^3 + 4\lambda^2$$

$$= \lambda^2(\lambda^2 - 30\lambda + 4)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 15 + \sqrt{221}, \lambda_2 = 15 - \sqrt{221}, \lambda_{3,4} = 0$$

Calculating the eigenvectors

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1: \quad & (20 - 15 - \sqrt{221})x_1 + 14x_2 = 0 \\ & 14x_1 + (10 - 15 - \sqrt{221})x_2 = 0 \\ & \quad x_3 = 0 \\ & \quad x_4 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$x_3 = x_4 = 0 \quad x_1 = \frac{5 + \sqrt{221}}{14} x_2 \quad \cancel{x_2 \neq 0}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2: \quad & (20 - 15 + \sqrt{221})x_1 + 14x_2 = 0 \\ & 14x_1 + (10 - 15 + \sqrt{221})x_2 = 0 \\ & \quad x_3 = 0 \\ & \quad x_4 = 0 \end{aligned}$$



$$\Rightarrow x_3 = x_4 = 0 \quad \cancel{x_1 \neq 0}, \quad x_2 = -\frac{5 + \sqrt{221}}{14} x_1$$

U is hence

$$U \approx \begin{pmatrix} 0.82 & -0.58 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.58 & 0.82 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^T \cdot A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 20 \\ 5 & 13 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$p_A(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} 8 - \lambda & 20 \\ 5 & 13 - \lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (8 - \lambda)(13 - \lambda) - 100$$

$$= \lambda^2 - 21\lambda + 4$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{21 + 5\sqrt{17}}{2}, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{21 - 5\sqrt{17}}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow V = \begin{pmatrix} 0.4 & -0.91 \\ 0.91 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}$$

The singular value decomposition is hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0.82 & -0.58 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.58 & 0.82 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{U} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 5.47 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.37 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\Sigma} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0.4 & 0.91 \\ -0.91 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}}_{V^T}$$

1.3 Singular Value Decomposition - Theoretical

1. Show that the rank of A is r , where r is the minimum such that $\arg \max_{\substack{\mathbf{v} \perp \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r \\ \|\mathbf{v}\|=1}} |A\mathbf{v}| = 0$

Sketch of the proof:

By definition

the i -th singular vector is defined by

$$\mathbf{v}_i = \arg \max_{\substack{\mathbf{v} \perp \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i-1}, \\ \|\mathbf{v}\|=1}} |A\mathbf{v}|$$

the construction process stops when

$$\arg \max_{\substack{\mathbf{v} \perp \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r \\ \|\mathbf{v}\|=1}} |A\mathbf{v}| = 0.$$

we can then decompose A into a sum of rank-1 matrices

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^T$$

the space hence spans r dimensions and A is hence of rank r .



1.3

2. Show that $|u_1^T A| = \max_{\|u\|=1} |u^T A| = \sigma_1$

We begin by using the uniqueness of the SVD

$$\begin{aligned} A &= U \Sigma V^T \\ \Rightarrow \|A\|_F^2 &= \text{tr}(A A^T) \\ &= \text{tr}(U \Sigma \Sigma^T U^T) \\ &= \sum_i \sigma_i^2 \underbrace{u_i u_i^T}_{=1} = \sum_i \sigma_i^2 \\ &\quad \text{due to orthogonality} \end{aligned}$$

similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_2^2 &= \max_v \frac{\|Av\|}{\|v\|} = \max_{\|v\|=1} \|Av\| \\ \Rightarrow \|A^T\|_2^2 &= \max_{\|u\|=1} \|A^T u\| \\ &= \max_{\|u\|=1} \|V \Sigma^T U^T u\| \end{aligned}$$

using the invariance under orthogonal transformations

$$\begin{aligned} &= \max_{\|u\|=1} \|\Sigma^T U^T u\| \\ &= \max_{\|v\|=1} \|\Sigma^T v\| \\ &= \sigma_1 \end{aligned}$$

using the following definition combined with the orthonormality of the left-singular vectors then completes the proof

$$\|Av_1\| = \arg \max_{\|v\|=1} \|Av\| \Rightarrow \|u_1^T A\| = \arg \max_{\|u\|=1} \|u^T A\|.$$



2 Probability Theory

2.1 Variance of a Sum

Show that the variance of a sum is $\text{var}[x+y]$

$= \text{var}[x] + \text{var}[y] + 2 \text{cov}(x, y)$, where $\text{cov}(x, y)$ is the covariance between x and y .

$$\text{var}(x+y) = E((x+y)^2) - [E(x+y)]^2$$

Using $\text{var}(x+y) = \text{cov}(x+y, x+y)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{var}(x+y) &= \text{cov}(x+y, x+y) \\ &= E((x+y)^2) - E(x+y)E(x+y) \\ &= E(x^2) - (E(x))^2 + E(y^2) - (E(y))^2 \\ &\quad + 2(E(xy) - E(x)E(y)) \\ &= \text{var}(x) + \text{var}(y) + 2\text{cov}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$



2.2 Pairwise Independence does not imply mutual Independence

We say that two random variables are pairwise independent if

$$p(X_2 | X_1) = p(X_2)$$

and hence

$$p(X_2, X_1) = p(X_1) p(X_2 | X_1) = p(X_1) p(X_2).$$

We say that n random variables are mutually independent if

$$p(X_i | X_S) = p(X_i) \quad \forall S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$$

and hence

$$p(X_{1:n}) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(X_i).$$

Show that pairwise independence between all pairs of variables does not necessarily imply mutual independence. It suffices to give a counter example.

The standard counter-example to this comes from discrete set theory where one can construct the following counter-example on an event space of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Here we create 3 events, i.e.

$$A = \{1, 2\}, \quad B = \{1, 3\}, \quad C = \{1, 4\}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(A) = P(B) = P(C) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$P(A \cap B \cap C) = P(\{1\}) = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P(A) \cdot P(B) P(C) = \frac{1}{8}$$

X

2.3 Bernoulli Distribution

The form of the Bernoulli distribution given by

$$\text{Bem}(x|\mu) = \mu^x (1-\mu)^{1-x}$$

is not symmetric between the two values of x . In some situations, it will be more convenient to use an equivalent formulation for which $x \in \{-1, 1\}$, in which case the distribution can be written as

$$p(x|\mu) = \left(\frac{1-\mu}{2}\right)^{\frac{1-x}{2}} \left(\frac{1+\mu}{2}\right)^{\frac{1+x}{2}}$$

where $\mu \in [-1, 1]$. Show that the distribution is normalized, and evaluate its mean, variance, and entropy.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{normalization} \sum_{x \in \{-1, 1\}} p(x|\mu) &= p(x=-1|\mu) + p(x=1|\mu) \\ &= \left(\frac{1-\mu}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1+\mu}{2}\right) \\ &= \underline{\underline{1}} \end{aligned}$$

The distribution is hence normalized.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mean: } E[x] &= \sum_{x \in \{-1, 1\}} x \cdot p(x|\mu) \\ &= (-1) \cdot \left(\frac{1-\mu}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1+\mu}{2}\right) \\ &= \underline{\underline{\mu}} \end{aligned}$$

Variance:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{var}(x) &= \mathbb{E}[(x - \mu)^2] \\
 &= \sum_{x \in \{-1, 1\}} (x - \mu)^2 p(x|\mu) \\
 &= (-1 - \mu)^2 \left(\frac{1 - \mu}{2}\right) + (1 - \mu)^2 \left(\frac{1 + \mu}{2}\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left((1 + \mu)^2 (1 - \mu) + (1 - \mu)^2 (1 + \mu) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(2 - 2\mu^2 \right) \\
 &= 1 - \mu^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Entropy:

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(x) &= - \sum_{x \in \{-1, 1\}} p(x|\mu) \ln p(x|\mu) \\
 &= - \left(\frac{1 - \mu}{2} \right) \ln \left(\frac{1 - \mu}{2} \right) - \left(\frac{1 + \mu}{2} \right) \ln \left(\frac{1 + \mu}{2} \right) \\
 &= - \left(\frac{1 - \mu}{2} \right) (\ln(1 - \mu) - \ln(2)) \\
 &\quad - \left(\frac{1 + \mu}{2} \right) (\ln(1 + \mu) - \ln(2))
 \end{aligned}$$

2.4 Beta Distribution

Prove that the beta distribution, given by

$$\text{Beta}(\mu | a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1}$$

is correctly normalized, so that

$$\int_0^1 \text{Beta}(\mu | a, b) d\mu = 1$$

holds. This is equivalent to showing that

$$\int_0^1 \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1} d\mu = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)}$$

Use expression 14 to prove 13 as follows. First bring the integral over y inside the integrand of the integral over x , next make the change of variable $t = y+x$ where x is fixed, then interchange the order of the x and t integrations, and finally make the change of variable $x = t\mu$ where t is fixed.

$$\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-x) x^{a-1} dx \int_0^\infty \exp(-y) y^{b-1} dy$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \exp(-x-y) x^{a-1} y^{b-1} dy dx$$

variable change $t = y+x \Rightarrow dt = dy$

~~$$= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \exp(-t) x^{a-1} (t-x)^{b-1} dt dx$$~~

$$= \int_0^\infty \exp(-t) \left(\int_0^t x^{a-1} (t-x)^{b-1} dx \right) dt$$

$$x = \mu t \Rightarrow dx = t d\mu$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \exp(-t) \left(t^{a+b-1} \int_0^1 \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1} d\mu \right) dt$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \exp(-t) t^{a+b-1} dt \cdot \int_0^1 \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1} d\mu$$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma(a)\Gamma(b) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-t) t^{a+b-1} dt \cdot \int_0^1 \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1} d\mu \\ = \Gamma(a+b)$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^1 \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1} d\mu = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \int_0^1 \mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{b-1} d\mu = 1$$

■

2.6 Deriving the Inverse Gamma Density

Let $X \sim Ga(a, b)$, i.e.

$$Ga(x | a, b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} e^{-xb}$$

Let $Y \sim \frac{1}{X}$. Show that $Y \sim Ig(a, b)$, i.e.

$$Ig(x | \text{shape} = a, \text{scale} = b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{-(a+1)} e^{-b/x}$$

Using a variable transformation for this 1-1 transformation we then have the Jacobian

$$\frac{dX}{dY} = -\frac{1}{Y^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow f_Y(y) &= f_X(g^{-1}(y)) \left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right| \\ &= \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \left(\frac{1}{y} \right)^{a-1} e^{-\frac{b}{y}} \left| -\frac{1}{y^2} \right| \\ &= \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \left(\frac{1}{y} \right)^{a+1} e^{-\frac{b}{y}} \\ &= \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} y^{-(a+1)} e^{-\frac{b}{y}} \end{aligned}$$



2.7 Normalization Constant for a 1D Gaussian

The normalization constant for a zero-mean Gaussian is given by

$$Z = \int_a^b \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) dx$$

where $a = -\infty$ and $b = \infty$. To compute this, consider its square

$$Z^2 = \int_a^b \int_a^b \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) dx dy$$

Let us change variables from cartesian (x, y) to polar (r, θ) using $x = r \cos \theta$ and $y = r \sin \theta$. Since $dx dy = r dr d\theta$, and $\cos^2(\theta) + \sin^2(\theta) = 1$, we have

$$Z^2 = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty r \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) dr d\theta$$

Evaluate this integral and hence show $Z = \sigma \sqrt{2\pi}$. (..)

$$\begin{aligned} Z^2 &= \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \cdot \int_0^\infty r \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) dr \\ &= 2\pi \cdot \left[-\cancel{\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \right]_{r=0}^{r=\infty} \\ &= 2\pi \cdot \sigma^2 (0 + 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow Z = \sigma \sqrt{2\pi}$$



2.8 Kullback - Leibler Divergence

Evaluate the Kullback - Leibler divergence, expressing the relative entropy of two probability distributions,

$$\begin{aligned} KL(p \parallel q) &= - \int p(x) \ln(q(x)) dx \\ &\quad - \left(- \int p(x) \ln(p(x)) dx \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= - \int p(x) \ln \left\{ \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right\} dx$$

between two Gaussians $p(x) = \mathcal{N}(x | \mu, \Sigma)$ and $q(x) = \mathcal{N}(x | m, L)$.

$$= \int \left(\ln(p(x)) - \ln(q(x)) \right) p(x) dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int \left[-\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) - \log(\Sigma) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\Sigma} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \log(L) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x-m}{L} \right)^2 \right] \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \cdot \Sigma}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\Sigma} \right)^2 \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int \left\{ \log \left(\frac{L}{\Sigma} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{x-m}{L} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\Sigma} \right)^2 \right] \right\} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \cdot \Sigma}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\Sigma} \right)^2 \right) dx$$

$$= E_{p(x)} \left\{ \log \left(\frac{L}{\Sigma} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{x-m}{L} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\Sigma} \right)^2 \right] \right\}$$

$$= \log \left(\frac{L}{\Sigma} \right) + \frac{1}{2L^2} E_{p(x)} \left[(x-m)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{2\Sigma^2} E_{p(x)} \left[(x-\mu)^2 \right]$$

$$= \log \left(\frac{L}{\Sigma} \right) + \frac{1}{2L^2} E_{p(x)} \left[(x-m)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{2}$$

we now complete the square in the expectation w.r.t. $p(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \log\left(\frac{L}{\Sigma}\right) + \frac{1}{2L^2} \mathbb{E}_{p(x)} \left[(x - \mu)^2 + 2(x - \mu)(\mu - m) + (\mu - m)^2 \right] \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \\
 &= \log\left(\frac{L}{\Sigma}\right) + \frac{1}{2L^2} \left[\mathbb{E}_{p(x)}[(x - \mu)^2] + 2(\mu - m) \mathbb{E}_{p(x)}[x - \mu] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (\mu - m)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{2} \\
 &= \log\left(\frac{L}{\Sigma}\right) + \frac{\cancel{\Sigma^2} + (\mu - m)^2}{2L^2} - \frac{1}{2} //
 \end{aligned}$$